IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MICHAEL W. CRIPPS, Appellant, vs. TAMMY SUE CRIPPS, Respondent. No. 45725 FILED NOV 2 8 2005 JANETTE M. BLOOM CLERK OF SUPREME COURT BY HEE DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

This is a proper person appeal from a district court order reinstating a master's findings and recommendation concerning child support. Seventh Judicial District Court, White Pine County; Joseph S. Pavlikowski, Judge.

Our review of the documents transmitted to this court pursuant to NRAP 3(e) reveals a jurisdictional defect. Specifically, it appears that the child support order is not substantively appealable because it does not appear to be a final judgment.¹ A final judgment is one that disposes of the issues presented in the case and leaves nothing for the future consideration of the court except for attorney fees and costs.² Here, the district court docket entries show that a final divorce decree had not yet been entered when the notice of appeal was filed. Thus, the child support order is not appealable because it is subject to review and

¹NRAP 3A(b)(1).

²See Lee v. GNLV Corp., 116 Nev. 424, 996 P.2d 416 (2000).

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

1000

modification by the district court.³ Since we lack jurisdiction to consider this appeal, we dismiss it.

It is so ORDERED.⁴

May

Maupin

J.

Gibbons

J.

Hardesty

cc: Hon. Joseph S. Pavlikowski, Senior Judge Michael W. Cripps Tammy Sue Cripps White Pine County Clerk

³See In re Temporary Custody of Five Minors, 105 Nev. 441, 777 P.2d 901 (1989) (holding that no appeal may be taken from a temporary order subject to periodic mandatory review and modification by the court).

⁴In light of this order, we deny as moot appellant's August 18, 2005, proper person motion for stay. Additionally, we vacate our November 8, 2005 order directing the White Pine County Clerk to transmit to this court the record in District Court Case Number CV0207105.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A