

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

RICHARD DUNHAM,  
Petitioner,  
vs.  
THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
Respondent.

No. 46481

**FILED**

JAN 19 2006

ORDER DENYING PETITION

JANETTE M. BLOOM  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY *J. Richards*  
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

This is a proper person petition for a writ of certiorari. Petitioner challenges actions of the Parole Board, this court's resolution of a previous appeal,<sup>1</sup> and actions of the district court. "A writ of certiorari is an extraordinary remedy and the decision to entertain a petition for a writ of certiorari lies within the discretion of this court."<sup>2</sup> We have considered the petition on file herein, and we are not satisfied that this court's intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted. Accordingly, we ORDER the petition DENIED.<sup>3</sup>

*Maupin* J.  
Maupin

*Gibbons* J.  
Gibbons

*Hardesty* J.  
Hardesty

<sup>1</sup>Dunham v. State, Docket No. 43311 (Order of Affirmance and Limited Remand for Correction of the Judgment of Conviction, October 6, 2004).

<sup>2</sup>Zamarripa v. District Court, 103 Nev. 638, 640, 747 P.2d 1386, 1387 (1987).

<sup>3</sup>We have received all proper person documents submitted in this matter, and we conclude that no relief is warranted.

cc: Richard Dunham  
Attorney General George Chanos/Carson City