IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN RE: GERALD FRANCIS GOEDEN.

LOUISE RUIZ AND LEO P. FLANGAS, Appellants, vs. GERALD FRANCIS GOEDEN AND JAMES F. LISOWSKI, SR., Respondents. No. 49992 **FILED** NOV 0 1 2007 JANETTE M. BLOOM GLERK OC SUPPREME COURT BY DEPUTY CLERK O

ORDER DECLINING TO ANSWER CERTIFIED QUESTIONS

Under NRAP 5, the United States Bankruptcy Court, District of Nevada, has certified questions of law to this court regarding the proper procedure for judgment renewal under NRS 17.214, and whether judgment creditors are required to strictly comply with the statute's requirements. Specifically, the federal court has certified the following three questions: (1) does the filing of a renewal affidavit with the district court clerk satisfy the requirement for renewing a judgment; (2) if a renewal affidavit does not contain all of the specifications set forth in NRS 17.214(1)(a)(1)-(9), has the judgment been renewed; and (3) if a judgment was recorded and the renewal affidavit is not recorded under NRS 17.214(b), has the judgment lien provided by NRS 17.150(2) expired?

Having carefully considered the federal court's certification order, we conclude that our recent decision in <u>Leven v. Frey¹</u> adequately

¹123 Nev. __, __ P.3d __ (Adv. Op. No. 40, October 11, 2007).

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA answers the federal court's certified questions. Accordingly, we respectfully decline to answer the certified questions in this case.²

It is so ORDERED.



 Hon. Linda B. Riegle, United States Bankruptcy Court Judge Flangas Law Office
Schwartzer & McPherson Law Firm
Quarles & Brady Streich Lang, LLP
Santoro, Driggs, Walch, Kearney, Holley & Thompson
Clerk, United States Bankruptcy Court, District of Nevada

 $^{2}\underline{See}$ NRAP 5(a) (providing that this court <u>may</u> answer questions of law certified to it by various courts) (emphasis added).

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA