

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

EDWARD ERLY MORRISON,
Petitioner,

vs.

EIGHTH DISTRICT COURT CLERK,
Respondent.

EDWARD ERLY MORRISON,
Petitioner,

vs.

EIGHTH DISTRICT COURT CLERK,
Respondent.

No. 49756

No. 50689

FILED

DEC 28 2007

JANETTE M. BLOOM
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY: *[Signature]*
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER ADMINISTRATIVELY CLOSING DOCKET NO. 50689


These proper person petitions for writs of mandamus both concern petitioner's request for this court to direct the district court clerk to file certain documents in the district court and return file-stamped copies of those documents to him. The petition in Docket No. 49756 was filed in this court on July 6, 2007, and was directed to and served upon the Clark County Clerk. On December 5, 2007, this court entered an order in Docket No. 49756 noting that "[b]ecause the Eighth District Court Clerk is responsible for filing documents submitted to the Eighth Judicial District Court, the Eighth District Court Clerk is the proper respondent to [the] petition." Accordingly, our December 5, 2007, order directed the clerk of this court to amend the caption in Docket No. 49756 to name the Eighth District Court Clerk as respondent. The order also directed petitioner to serve copies of his petition on the Eighth District Court Clerk, the Attorney General's Office and the Clark County District Attorney's Office, and to file proof of such service in this court.

On December 12, 2007, petitioner filed another petition for writ of mandamus that appears to request the same relief requested in the

07-28242

petition filed on July 6, 2007. However, the December 12, 2007, petition was directed to the Eighth District Court Clerk, rather than the Clark County Clerk, and included a certificate indicating that petitioner had served it upon the Eighth District Court Clerk, the Attorney General's Office and the Clark County District Attorney's Office. It appears that by filing the December 12, 2007, petition, petitioner was attempting to comply with our December 5, 2007, order. However, the December 12, 2007, petition was inadvertently docketed as a separate proceeding in Docket No. 50689. Accordingly, we direct the clerk to administratively close the proceeding in Docket No. 50689 and transfer all documents filed or received in Docket No. 50689 to Docket No. 49756.

It is so ORDERED.¹

 C.J.

cc: Edward Elry Morrison
Attorney General Catherin Cortez Mastro
Clark County District Attorney David J. Roger
Eighth District Court Clerk

¹The certificate of service attached to the December 12, 2007, petition indicates that it was served by mail on December 7, 2007. Accordingly, respondent's answer is due no later January 9, 2008.