## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

RICARDO R. MARTINEZ, Appellant, vs. CARRIE A. MARTINEZ, Respondent. No. 54236

FILED

SEP 0 3 2009

CIERTOF SUPREME COURT
BY DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

Our review of the documents before us in this proper person appeal reveals a jurisdictional defect. Specifically, it appears that the order appellant is challenging is not a final, appealable judgment. See NRAP 3A(b)(1) (providing for an appeal from a final judgment in an action or proceeding). A final judgment is one that disposes of all issues presented in the case and leaves nothing for the future consideration of the district court, except for postjudgment issues such as attorney fees and costs. Lee v. GNLV Corp., 116 Nev. 424, 996 P.2d 416 (2000). Here, the district court's written order fails to resolve certain issues in the parties' divorce. While those issues are addressed in the district court minutes, that is ineffective for appellate purposes. Rust v. Clark Cty. School District, 103 Nev. 686, 747 P.2d 1380 (1987). Accordingly, as it appears

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

09-21488

that a final judgment has not been entered in the underlying case, we lack jurisdiction to consider this appeal, and we

ORDER this appeal DISMISSED.1

Cherry

. .

Saitta

ibbons

cc: Chief Judge, Second Judicial District Hon. Robert E. Rose, Senior Justice Ricardo R. Martinez Clifton J. Young Washoe District Court Clerk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>As we conclude that we lack jurisdiction over this appeal, appellant need not file the civil proper person appeal statement and transcript request forms sent to him.