

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

SHANNON DORADO AND RICHARD  
DORADO,  
Petitioners,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF  
CLARK, AND THE HONORABLE  
KENNETH E. POLLOCK, DISTRICT  
JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

JIMMY DORADO AND ALETA  
DORADO,  
Real Parties in Interest.

No. 54801

**FILED**

**NOV 06 2009**

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY S. Young  
DEPUTY CLERK


ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF PROHIBITION

This original petition for a writ of prohibition challenges a district court's oral ruling denying petitioners' motion to dismiss real parties in interest's petition for visitation, setting the matter for an evidentiary hearing, and appointing a guardian ad litem for the child.


Based on our review of the documents before us, we conclude that our intervention by way of extraordinary relief is not warranted. See Smith v. District Court, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d 849, 851 (1991); NRAP 21(b)(1). Further, petitioners failed to provide this court with a copy of a written, file-stamped order, and thus have not complied with NRAP 21(a). See State, Div. Child & Fam. Servs. v. Dist. Ct., 120 Nev. 445, 451, 92 P.3d 1239, 1243 (2004) (providing that an oral

pronouncement of judgment is not valid, as the district court remains free to reconsider and issue a written judgment different from its oral pronouncement). Accordingly, we


ORDER the petition DENIED.



J.  
Parraguirre



J.  
Douglas



J.  
Pickering

cc: Hon. Kenneth E. Pollock, District Judge  
Hofland Beasley & Galliher  
Eric Palacios & Associates  
Eighth District Court Clerk