

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JOHN LUCKETT,
Petitioner,

vs.

JUSTICE COURT, LAS VEGAS
TOWNSHIP, AND THE HONORABLE
ANN E. ZIMMERMAN, JUSTICE OF
THE PEACE, JUSTICE COURT, LAS
VEGAS TOWNSHIP,

Respondents,

and

WILD WILD WEST HOTEL AND
CASINO,

Real Party in Interest.

No. 55189

FILED

FEB 05 2010

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

JOHN LUCKETT,
Petitioner,

vs.

JUSTICE COURT, LAS VEGAS
TOWNSHIP, AND THE HONORABLE
ANN E. ZIMMERMAN, JUSTICE OF
THE PEACE, JUSTICE COURT, LAS
VEGAS TOWNSHIP,

Respondents,

and

WILD WILD WEST HOTEL AND
CASINO,

Real Party in Interest.¹

No. 55190

ORDER DENYING PETITIONS FOR WRITS OF MANDAMUS
AND TO SHOW CAUSE

These original proper person petitions for writs of mandamus seek to compel the justice court to file notices of appeal and a complaint,

¹We direct the clerk of this court to amend the captions on these matters to conform to the captions on this order.

despite filing restrictions placed on petitioner by the justice court and upheld by this court. Luckett v. Dist. Ct. (Wild Wild West Hotel & Casino), Docket No. 53725 (Order Denying Petition, December 4, 2009). In Docket No. 53725, petitioner sought to compel filing of precisely the same documents that are the subject of the instant petitions. Petitioner did not seek rehearing in that matter, and we decline to revisit the issues yet again. NRAP 21(b)(1); Smith v. District Court, 107 Nev. 674, 818 P.2d 849 (1991). Accordingly, we deny the petitions.


In addition, a review of this court's docket reveals that, since 1999, petitioner has filed 18 matters with this court (including the instant petitions) and has prevailed in none of them.² In many instances, he filed


²Luckett v. Dist. Ct., Docket No. 53725 (Order Denying Petition, December 4, 2009); Luckett v. Dist. Ct., Docket No. 53517 (Order Denying Petition, April 9, 2009); Luckett v. Barrick Gaming Corp., Docket No. 46479 (Order Dismissing Appeal, June 28, 2006); Luckett v. Dist. Ct., Docket No. 45476 (Order Denying Petition, July 6, 2005); Luckett v. Dist. Ct., Docket No. 45400 (Order Dismissing Petition, August 8, 2005); Luckett v. Dist. Ct., Docket No. 45268 (Order Denying Petition, May 20, 2005); Luckett v. Charlestonwood Apartments, Docket No. 43704 (Order Dismissing Appeal, August 24, 2004); Luckett v. Dist. Ct., Docket No. 43642 (Order Dismissing Petition, March 4, 2005); Luckett v. Doumani, Docket No. 39052 (affirmed by opinion in Jordan v. State, Dep't of Motor Vehicles, 121 Nev. 44, 110 P.3d 30 (2005); Order Denying Rehearing, June 7, 2005); Luckett v. Dist. Ct., Docket No. 38846 (Order Denying Petition, December 17, 2001; Order Denying Rehearing, January 29, 2002); Luckett v. Dist. Ct., Docket No. 37950 (Order Denying Petition, July 9, 2001); Luckett v. Dist. Ct., Docket No. 37936 (Order Denying Petition, June 20, 2001); Luckett v. Panos, Docket No. 36267 (Order Dismissing Appeal, June 22, 2000); Luckett v. Panos, Docket No. 35885 (Order of Affirmance, May 9, 2002; Order Denying Rehearing, July 10, 2002); Luckett v. Panos, Docket No. 35494 (Order Dismissing Appeal, March 21, 2000); Luckett v. Dist. Ct., Docket No. 35391 (Order Denying Petition, December 29, 1999).


repetitive writ petitions seeking relief that had already been denied in previous orders. This court's limited resources are not well-spent in reviewing and deciding frivolous petitions and appeals. Also, petitioner generally proceeds in forma pauperis, without paying filing fees or other costs, and therefore monetary sanctions are not available to deter these filings. It thus appears that restrictions on petitioner's ability to file original petitions with this court may be appropriate.

Accordingly, petitioner shall have 30 days from the date of this order to show cause why his ability to file original writ petitions with this court should not be restricted, consistent with the guidelines announced in Jordan v. State, Department of Motor Vehicles, 121 Nev. 44, 110 P.3d 30 (2005), abrogated on other grounds by Buzz Stew, LLC v. City of N. Las Vegas, 124 Nev. ___, 181 P.3d 670 (2008).

It is so ORDERED.


_____, J.
Cherry


_____, J.
Saitta


_____, J.
Gibbons

cc: Hon. Ann. E. Zimmerman, Justice of the Peace
John Luckett
Pyatt Silvestri & Hanlon
Justice Court Clerk, Las Vegas Township