

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

STEPHEN HINES,  
Petitioner,

vs.

THE STATE OF NEVADA  
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY,  
THE DIVISION OF INVESTIGATION,  
THE DIVISION OF PAROLE &  
PROBATION,  
Respondents.

No. 57497

**FILED**

**NOV 21 2011**

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY S. Young  
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION  
FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR CERTIORARI

This original petition for a writ of mandamus or certiorari seeks the production of documents and audio recordings of a polygraph examination and to correct a violation report.

The determination of whether to consider a petition for a writ of mandamus or certiorari is solely within our discretion. Smith v. District Court, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d 849, 851 (1991) (mandamus); SFPP, L.P. v. Dist. Ct., 123 Nev. 608, 610, 173 P.3d 715, 716 (2007) (certiorari). We have consistently held that we will generally not entertain a petition for writ relief unless it is first sought in district court. Southwest Gas Corp. v. Public Serv. Comm'n, 92 Nev. 48, 57, 546 P.2d 219, 224-25 (1976); cf. State of Nevada v. Justice Court, 112 Nev. 803, 805 n.3, 919 P.2d 401, 402 n.3 (1996). As petitioner failed to first file his petition for writ relief in district court, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

Douglas, J.  
Douglas

Hardesty, J.  
Hardesty

Parraguirre, J.  
Parraguirre

cc: Law Office of Gemma Greene Waldron, PLLC  
Attorney General/Carson City

8