

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DAVID MORGAN,

Appellant,

vs.

COUNTY OF CLARK, A POLITICAL
SUBDIVISION OF THE STATE OF
NEVADA, ON RELATION OF MCCARRAN
INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT,

Respondent.

No. 35272

FILED

MAY 05 2000

JANETTE M. BLOOM
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *[Signature]*
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE


This is appeal from a stipulated judgment in a condemnation action. Our preliminary review of the documents submitted to this court pursuant to NRAP 3(e) reveals a potential jurisdictional defect. Specifically, it appears that appellant is not an aggrieved party with standing to appeal. See NRAP 3A(a); Valley Bank of Nevada v. Ginsburg, 110 Nev. 440, 874 P.2d 729 (1994). The parties stipulated to judgment and just compensation, with appellant reserving the issue of date of valuation for appeal. However, in that both parties stipulated that a sum certain represented "the total just compensation," including attorney's fees and costs, it appears that appellant is not an aggrieved party pursuant to NRAP 3A(a).

Accordingly, appellant shall have thirty (30) days from the date of this order within which to show cause why this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. In responding to this order, appellant shall submit documentation that establishes this court's jurisdiction including, but not necessarily limited to, points and authorities. We caution appellant that failure to demonstrate that this court has jurisdiction may result in this court's

dismissal of this appeal for lack of jurisdiction. The preparation of transcripts and briefing schedule in this appeal shall be suspended pending further order of this court.

In addition, appellant failed to answer questions 20 through 25 in his docketing statement. On the first page of the docketing statement, this court cautioned that "when attorneys do not take seriously their obligations under NRAP 14 to complete the docketing statement properly and conscientiously, they waste valuable judicial resources of this court, making the imposition of sanctions appropriate." See KDI Sylvan Pools v. Workman, 107 Nev. 340, 344, 810 P.2d 1217, 1220 (1991). Accordingly, in his response to this order, appellant shall explain why this court should not impose sanctions for his failure to complete the docketing statement.

It is so ORDERED.


_____, C.J.

cc: Attorney General
Clark County District Attorney
Amesbury & Schutt