IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CHARLES LEONARD LANE, III, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 59042

FILED

MAR 3 0 2012



ORDER OF REVERSAL AND REMAND

This is a proper person appeal from an order of the district court denying a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus.¹ Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; David B. Barker, Judge.

Appellant filed a timely petition on May 19, 2011. The district court denied the petition without conducting an evidentiary hearing or appointing counsel. We conclude that the district court erred in denying the petition without appointing counsel for the reasons discussed below.

NRS 34.750(1) provides for the discretionary appointment of post-conviction counsel and sets forth the following factors which the court may consider in making its determination to appoint counsel: the petitioner's indigency, the severity of the consequences to the petitioner, the difficulty of those issues presented, whether the petitioner is unable to comprehend the proceedings, and whether counsel is necessary to proceed with discovery.

(O) 1947A

¹This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude that the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. See <u>Luckett v. Warden</u>, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).

Appellant's petition arose out of a three-day jury trial with potentially complex issues. Appellant was represented by appointed counsel at trial. Appellant is serving a significant sentence pursuant to the provisions of NRS 207.010(1)(a). In addition, appellant moved for the appointment of counsel and claimed that he was indigent. The failure to appoint post-conviction counsel prevented a meaningful litigation of the petition. Thus, we reverse the district court's denial of appellant's petition and remand this matter for the appointment of counsel to assist appellant in the post-conviction proceedings. Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court REVERSED AND REMAND this matter to the district court for proceedings consistent with this order.2

Parraguirre

Hon. David B. Barker, District Judge cc: Charles Leonard Lane, III Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk

 2

²We have considered all proper person documents filed or received in We conclude that appellant is only entitled to the relief this matter. described herein.