IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CAROLYN AND FRED HENSCHEL,
HUSBAND AND WIFE,
Appellants,
vs.
GREATER NEVADA MORTGAGE
SERVICES; AND OLD REPUBLIC
DEFAULT MANAGEMENT SERVICES,
Respondents.

No. 61725

FILED

DEC 1 6 2013

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is an appeal from a district court order denying a petition for judicial review in a Foreclosure Mediation Program (FMP) matter. Second Judicial District Court, Washoe County; Patrick Flanagan, Judge.

On appeal, appellants argue that (1) the assignments produced by respondent Greater Nevada Mortgage Services (GNMS) were "void" for failure to recite consideration, and (2) GNMS failed to produce an intermediate assignment. These arguments were not raised in district court. While this failure alone would justify affirming the district court's

¹We recognize that appellants' counsel briefly mentioned one of the arguments for the first time at the show-cause hearing. As counsel is aware, however, the show-cause hearing is not the appropriate forum for introducing new arguments. See Davis v. US Bank, Docket No. 56306 (Order of Affirmance, February 24, 2012) (explaining that the show-cause hearing's purpose is to discuss matters raised in the petition for judicial review).

order, see Old Aztec Mine, Inc. v. Brown, 97 Nev. 49, 52, 623 P.2d 981, 983 (1981), we have considered these arguments nonetheless and conclude that they lack merit. Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.

Pickering, C.J

Hardesty, J

Cherry, Cherry

cc: Hon. Patrick Flanagan, District Judge Mark L. Mausert McCarthy & Holthus, LLP/Las Vegas Washoe District Court Clerk