IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ALLEN STANISLOUIS HEUSNER, Appellant, vs. D. W. NEVEN, WARDEN, Respondent. No. 65511

FILED

JUL 2 2 2014

CLERK OF SUPREMEDICOURT
BY DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is a proper person appeal from an order dismissing a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus.¹ Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Carolyn Ellsworth, Judge.

In his petition filed on February 19, 2014, appellant claimed that his due process rights were violated at a prison disciplinary hearing which resulted in the loss of canteen privileges and prevents him from obtaining employment in prison industries. Appellant's claims challenging the prison disciplinary proceedings were not cognizable in a petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed in state court because appellant did not lose any credits and the claims challenged the conditions of

¹This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude that the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. *See Luckett v. Warden*, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).

confinement. See Bowen v. Warden, 100 Nev. 489, 686 P.2d 250 (1984). Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.2

Pickering

Parraguirre

Saitta

cc: Hon. Carolyn Ellsworth, District Judge Allen Stanislouis Heusner Attorney General/Las Vegas Eighth District Court Clerk

²We have reviewed all documents that appellant has submitted in proper person to the clerk of this court in this matter, and we conclude that no relief based upon those submissions is warranted. To the extent that appellant has attempted to present claims or facts in those submissions which were not previously presented in the proceedings below, we have declined to consider them in the first instance.