IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JORGE VAZQUEZ AKA JORGE VICTORINOVAZQUEZ, Appellants, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 65772

FILED

SEP 1 8 2014

CLERK DE SUPREME COURT

BY

DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is a proper person appeal from an order denying a motion to modify sentence.¹ Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Carolyn Ellsworth, Judge.

In his motion filed on April 7, 2014, appellant claimed that the district court failed to consider his lack of criminal history, his counsel was ineffective, and he was never given a transcript of the informant's interview. Appellant's claims fell outside the narrow scope of claims permissible in a motion to modify sentence. See Edwards v. State, 112 Nev. 704, 708, 918 P.2d 321, 324 (1996). Therefore, without considering

¹This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude that the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. *See Luckett v. Warden*, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).

the merits of any of the claims raised in the motion, we conclude that the district court did not err in denying the motion. Accordingly, we ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.²

1 Jardesty, J

Hardesty

Douglas J.

Cherry, J

cc: Hon. Carolyn Ellsworth, District Judge Jorge Vazquez Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk

²We have reviewed all documents that appellant has submitted in proper person to the clerk of this court in this matter, and we conclude that no relief based upon those submissions is warranted. To the extent that appellant has attempted to present claims or facts in those submissions which were not previously presented in the proceedings below, we have declined to consider them in the first instance.