

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

VERNON WESLEY,

Petitioner,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF

CLARK,

Respondent.

No. 68340

FILED

SEP 10 2015

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION

This is a pro se petition for a writ of mandamus. Petitioner challenges the validity of his judgment of conviction and seeks an order directing the district court to disclose all exculpatory evidence. We have reviewed the documents submitted in this matter, and without deciding upon the merits of any claims raised therein, we decline to exercise original jurisdiction in this matter. See NRS 34.160; NRS 34.170. A challenge to the validity of the judgment of conviction must be raised in a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed in the district

court in the first instance.¹ NRS 34.724(2)(b); NRS 34.738(1).

Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

Parraguirre, J.
Parraguirre

Douglas, J.
Douglas

Cherry, J.
Cherry

cc: Vernon Wesley
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney
Eighth District Court Clerk

¹We express no opinion as to whether petitioner could meet the procedural requirements of NRS chapter 34.