IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CARL DEAN EDWARDS, Petitioner.

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA; AND THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, Respondents.

No. 70834

FILED

OCT 13 2016

ORDER DENYING PETITION

This is a pro se petition for a writ of mandamus. Petitioner requests that this court direct the lower courts to protect his right to access the courts and provide him other forms of relief. Without deciding upon the merits of any claims raised in the documents submitted in this matter, we decline to exercise our original jurisdiction. See NRS 34.160; NRS 34.170; NRS 34.320; NRS 34.330; NRS 34.020. A challenge to the validity of the judgment of conviction must be raised in a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed in the district court in the first instance.1 NRS 34.724(2)(b); NRS 34.738(1). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

Cherry

Douglas

Gibbons

¹We express no opinion as to whether petitioner could meet the procedural requirements of NRS chapter 34.

SUPREME COURT NEVADA

(O) 1947A

cc: Carl Dean Edwards
Attorney General/Carson City
Eighth District Court Clerk

1. 15. .