

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

RICHARD O. BRADFORD, JR.,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 73116

FILED

DEC 14 2017

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF JUDICIAL COURT
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

Richard O. Bradford, Jr. appeals from an order of the district court denying the postconviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus he filed on January 17, 2017.¹ Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Michael Villani, Judge.


Bradford claims the district court erred by denying his petition. In his petition, it appears Bradford claimed his plea should be withdrawn because he was not guilty, there were double jeopardy and involuntary servitude violations, the district court based its sentence on his prior convictions, and he is serving more time than he was sentenced to serve. Bradford failed to support his claims with specific facts that, if true, would entitle him to relief. *See Hargrove v. State*, 100 Nev. 498, 502-03, 686 P.2d 222, 225 (1984). Further, the claim regarding his sentencing and the claim he is serving more time than he was sentenced to serve do not challenge the validity of the plea or allege his plea was entered without the effective assistance of counsel. Therefore, these claims were outside the scope of


¹This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument. NRAP 34(f)(3).


17-902616

claims permissible to be raised in a postconviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus challenging a judgment of conviction entered pursuant to a guilty plea. See NRS 34.810(1)(a). Accordingly, we conclude the district court did not err by denying Bradford's petition, and we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.²


_____, C.J.
Silver


_____, J.
Tao


_____, J.
Gibbons

cc: Hon. Michael Villani, District Judge
Richard O. Bradford, Jr.
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney
Eighth District Court Clerk

²We conclude the district court did not abuse its discretion by declining to appoint counsel to represent Bradford in this matter. See NRS 34.750(1); *Renteria-Novoa*, 133 Nev. ___, ___, 391 P.3d 760-61 (2017).