

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JUAN X. HIGH,

Appellant,

vs.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

No. 36673

FILED

MAR 08 2001

JANETTE M. BLOOM
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *J. Richards*
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is a proper person appeal from an order of the district court denying appellant's petition for a writ of mandamus.

On February 4, 2000, appellant filed a petition for a writ of mandamus in the district court. On April 7, 2000, appellant filed an amended petition for a writ of mandamus in the district court. The State filed an opposition. On July 11, 2000, the district court entered an order denying appellant's petitions. This appeal followed.

In his petitions, appellant argued that the "trial court imposed illegal sentencing scheme that caused unconstitutional application of petitioner's sentence by prison officials and the state board of parole commissioners." Appellant further argued that the prison failed to provide him with copies of documents from his institutional file and failed to provide him with adequate access to copying services. Based upon our review of the record on appeal, we conclude that the district court did not abuse its discretion in denying appellant's petitions. Appellant has an adequate legal remedy.¹

¹See NRS 34.170; see also NRS 34.724.

Having reviewed the record on appeal, we conclude that appellant is not entitled to relief and that briefing and oral argument are unwarranted.² Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.

Young J.
Young

Rose J.
Rose

Becker J.
Becker

cc: Hon. Merlyn H. Hoyt, District Judge
Attorney General
Juan X. High
White Pine County Clerk

²See Lockett v. Warden, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975), cert. denied, 423 U.S. 1077 (1976).