

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

RICHARD LEE SATTERWHITE,  
Petitioner,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF  
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE  
MARK B. BAILUS, DISTRICT JUDGE,  
Respondents,

and

THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
Real Party in Interest.

No. 75801

**FILED**

JUL 10 2018

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY S. Young  
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER DENYING PETITION*

This petition for a writ of mandamus, or alternatively, prohibition challenges the denial of a motion to admit evidence of prior sexual knowledge and the grant of motions to admit prior bad act evidence. Because petitioner may challenge these decisions on direct appeal if he is convicted, *see* NRS 177.015(3); NRS 177.045, we decline to exercise original jurisdiction in this matter. *See* NRS 34.170; NRS 34.330; *Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 120 Nev. 222, 224, 88 P.3d 840, 841 (2004) (“[T]he right to appeal is generally an adequate legal remedy that precludes writ relief.”); *see also State v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 127 Nev. 927, 931, 267 P.3d

777, 779-80 (2011) (“[T]he decision to entertain an extraordinary writ petition lies within our discretion.”). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.<sup>1</sup>

Pickering, J.  
Pickering

Gibbons J.  
Gibbons

Hardesty, J.  
Hardesty

cc: Hon. Mark B. Bailus, District Judge  
Lobo Law PLLC  
Tanasi Law Offices  
Attorney General/Carson City  
Clark County District Attorney  
Eighth District Court Clerk

---

<sup>1</sup>We deny as moot the motion to stay the trial pending this court’s consideration of the petition.