IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ARTURO GOMEZ-TORRES,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE
GERALD W. HARDCASTLE, SENIOR
DISTRICT COURT JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
STEPHANIE LOVELL,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 77034

FILED

SEP 2 7 2018

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION

This original, emergency petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenges a district court order granting a motion to modify child custody for relocation purposes. Petitioner has alternatively sought a stay pending resolution of this proceeding.

Having considered the petition and supporting documents, we are not persuaded that our extraordinary and discretionary intervention is warranted. *Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004); *Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 679, 818 P.2d 849, 851, 853 (1991). Petitioner has an adequate legal remedy available in the form of an appeal from an order that finally resolves the motion to modify custody, NRAP 3A(b)(7), and the availability of an appeal generally precludes writ relief. *See* NRS 34.170; NTS 34.330; *Pan*, 120 Nev. at 224, 88 P.3d at 841. Further, petitioner has not demonstrated that

COURT OF APPEALS
OF
NEVADA



emergency relief is necessary before the district court fully resolves the custody issues below. We note that the remaining issues are scheduled to be argued at a hearing this week. Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.1

Silver, C.J.

Tao J.

Gibbons, J

cc: Chief Judge, The Eighth Judicial District Court Hon. Gerald W. Hardcastle, Senior Judge Bowen Law Offices Stephanie Lovell Eighth District Court Clerk

¹In light of this order, petitioner's alternative request for a stay is denied as moot.