IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

VILLAGE LEAGUE TO SAVE INCLINE ASSETS, INC., ON BEHALF OF RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY TAXPAYERS AT INCLINE VILLAGE/CRYSTAL BAY, NEVADA: DEAN R. INGEMANSON, TRUSTEE OF THE LARRY D. & MARYANNE B. INGEMANSON TRUST; DEAN R. INGEMANSON, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE DEAN R. INGEMANSON TRUST; J. ROBERT ANDERSON; LES BARTA; KATHY NELSON, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE KATHY NELSON TRUST: ANDREW WHYMAN: ELLEN BAKST: JANE BARNHART: CAROL BUCK; DANIEL SCHWARTZ; LARRY WATKINS; DON WILSON; PATRICIA WILSON; AND AGNIESZKA WINKLER, Appellants,

No. 73835

NOV 1 9 2018

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

BY

DEPUTY CLEEK

vs.

THE STATE OF NEVADA, BOARD OF EQUALIZATION; WASHOE COUNTY; WASHOE COUNTY ASSESSOR; AND WASHOE COUNTY TREASURER,

Respondents.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

This is an appeal from a district court order granting appellants' petition for judicial review, vacating the equalization order of the State Board of Equalization, and remanding to the State Board of Equalization for further proceedings pursuant to NRS 361.395, as directed by this court in *Village League to Save Incline Assets v. State, Bd. of Equalization*, 133 Nev., Adv. Op. 1, 388 P.3d 218 (2017). Second Judicial District Court, Washoe County; Patrick Flanagan, Judge.

Because it appeared the district court had granted the relief appellants had originally sought, this court entered an order directing appellant to show cause why the appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction because they were not aggrieved parties. See Valley Bank of Nev. v. Ginsburg, 110 Nev. 440, 874 P.2d 729 (1994) (defining an aggrieved party).

Appellants and respondent Washoe County have responded to our order. Appellants contend they are aggrieved because the district court remanded the matter to the State Board of Equalization for further proceedings, rather than conducting those proceedings itself. Washoe County counters that this court has already rejected the argument that the district court failed to comply with this court's remand by denying appellants' writ petition making the same challenge in Village League to Save Incline Assets, Inc. vs. Dist. Ct., Docket No. 73573 (Order Denying Petition, August 25, 2017). We note further, that appellants specifically requested in their original petition for judicial review that the district court "review, reverse and set aside the February 8, 2013 decision of the State Board of Equalization and remand this matter to the SBOE with instructions for the lawful determination of petitioners' equalization

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A an (O)

grievances." This is what the district court did. We conclude that appellants are not aggrieved parties, and we

ORDER this appeal DISMISSED.

Cherry, J
Parraguirre

Stiglich J.

cc: Hon. Patrick Flanagan, District Judge
Dyer Lawrence, LLP
Snell & Wilmer, LLP/Reno
Attorney General/Carson City
Washoe County District Attorney
Washoe County District Attorney/Civil Division
Attorney General/Las Vegas
Washoe District Court Clerk