IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

RONALD HILLMAN, AN INDIVIDUAL, Petitioner,

vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK; THE HONORABLE ROB
BARE, DISTRICT JUDGE; AND THE
HONORABLE ADRIANA ESCOBAR,
DISTRICT JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
SUSAN PROCTOR, AN INDIVIDUAL;
AND KAY MEDRANO, AN
INDIVIDUAL,
Real Parties in Interest.

No. 77387



DEC 13 2018

CLERK OF SUPPLEME COURT
BY DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION

This petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenges a district court order that dissolves a partnership and directs the parties to sell the partnership's sole asset—an apartment located in another State. The petition primarily takes issue with whether the district court had jurisdiction to order the parties to sell the apartment. As such, the appropriate writ is for prohibition, not mandamus. Compare NRS 34.160 (scope of mandamus), with NRS 34.320 (scope of prohibition). Having considered the petition, we are not convinced that our intervention is warranted. See Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 107 Nev. 674, 677,

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A 🐗

818 P.2d 849, 851 (1991) ("[T]he issuance of a writ of...prohibition is purely discretionary with this court."). Accordingly, we ORDER the petition DENIED.

Pickering

Gibbons

Hardesty

cc: Hon. Rob Bare, District Judge
Hon. Adriana Escobar, District Judge
The Law Office of Mike Beede, PLLC
LBC Law Group
Eighth District Court Clerk