IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE
KATHLEEN E. DELANEY, DISTRICT
JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
JOHN DOUGLAS CHARTIER,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 76936-COA

FILED

DEC 1 9 2018

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION

This original petition for a writ of mandamus seeks an order directing the district court to vacate an evidentiary hearing and the May 21, 2018, order granting real party in interest John Douglas Chartier's motion for reconsideration. The State contends the district court acted in an arbitrary and capricious manner when it reconsidered its previous order denying Chartier's postconviction habeas petition.

Having considered the State's petition, we are not satisfied this court's intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted. See NRS 34.160; see also Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004) ("Petitioner[] carr[ies] the burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted."); Poulos v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 98 Nev. 453, 455, 652 P.2d 1177, 1178 (1982) ("Mandamus is an

COURT OF APPEALS
OF
NEVADA

(O) 1947B 🚭

extraordinary remedy, and the decision as to whether a petition will be entertained lies within the discretion of this court."). Accordingly, without deciding upon the merits of any claims raised, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.1

Silver, C.J

_____, J.

Tao

Gibbons , J

cc: Hon. Linda Marie Bell, Chief Judge
Hon. Kathleen E. Delaney, District Judge
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney
Jean J. Schwartzer
Hofland & Tomsheck
Eighth District Court Clerk

¹In light of our disposition, Chartier's motions to file an answer are denied as moot.