IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JUANA GUZMAN BUSTAMANTE, Petitioner,

VS.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE DENISE L. GENTILE, DISTRICT JUDGE, Bespondents.

Respondents, and

JEFFREY E. HERNANDEZ,

Real Party in Interest.

No. 78973-COA

FILED

JUL 0 8 2019

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY SY DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

This original petition for a writ of mandamus challenges the district court's denial of a motion to designate child witnesses.

A writ of mandamus is available to compel the performance of an act that the law requires as a duty resulting from an office, trust, or station or to control an arbitrary or capricious exercise of discretion. See NRS 34.160; Int'l Game Tech., Inc. v. Second Judicial Dist. Court, 124 Nev. 193, 197, 179 P.3d 556, 558 (2008). This court has discretion as to whether to entertain a petition for extraordinary relief and will not do so when the petitioner has a plain, speedy, and adequate remedy at law. NRS 34.170; D.R. Horton, Inc. v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 123 Nev. 468, 474-75, 168 P.3d 731, 736-37 (2007). Petitioner bears the burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted. See Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004).

Having considered the petition and supporting documents filed in this matter, we are not persuaded that this court's intervention by way

Court of Appeals of Nevada

(O) 1947B

of extraordinary relief is warranted. *Id.* Accordingly, we deny the petition. See NRAP 21(b)(1); *D.R. Horton*, 123 Nev. at 475, 168 P.3d at 737.

It is so ORDERED.

Gibbons

Tao

Tao

J.

Bulla

cc: Hon. Denise L. Gentile, District Judge, Family Court Division Robert W. Lueck, Ltd. The Grigsby Law Group Eighth District Court Clerk