## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

BRADLEY ELLINGSON, Petitioner, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 71907

## FILED

## ORDER DENYING PETITION

This petition appears to seek a writ of mandamus related to a pending criminal prosecution.<sup>1</sup> To the extent that petitioner seeks relief from his guilty plea, he has an adequate remedy at law either through an appeal from the judgment of conviction entered after sentencing, *see* NRS 177.015, or a postconviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus, *see* NRS 34.720, 34.724(1).<sup>2</sup> We therefore conclude that a writ of mandamus is not proper. *See* NRS 34.170 (providing that writ of mandamus is proper only when there is no plain, adequate, and speedy legal remedy); NRS 34.724(2) (providing that postconviction habeas petition takes the place of all other remedies for challenging validity of a conviction or sentence, aside from a direct appeal and remedies that are incident to the trial court

<sup>2</sup>We express no opinion as to whether petitioner can meet the requirements for filing a timely notice of appeal or comply with the procedural requirements for a petition filed under NRS chapter 34.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Petitioner has not provided an appendix as required by NRAP 21(a)(4).

proceedings); Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 120 Nev. 222, 224, 88 P.3d 840, 841 (2004) ("[T]he right to appeal is generally an adequate legal remedy that precludes writ relief."). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

J.

Douglas J.

Gibbons

<u>Picker ung</u>, J. Pickering

Hon. Steven L. Dobrescu, District Judge cc: White Pine County Public Defender Bradley Ellingson Attorney General/Carson City White Pine County District Attorney White Pine County Clerk

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA