

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JULIE L. HAMMER; GONZALO  
GALINDO, A/K/A GONZALO I.  
GALINDO-MILAN, HUSBAND AND  
WIFE,

Appellants,

vs.

MARY JOHANNA RASMUSSEN,  
Respondent.

No. 73999

**FILED**

OCT 03 2017

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY: *[Signature]*  
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL*

This is a pro se appeal from the “Clarified Final Order Resolving Parent Child Issues” and from several prior interlocutory orders. Eighth Judicial District Court, Family Court Division, Clark County; Frank P. Sullivan, Judge; Eighth Judicial District Court, Family Court Division, Clark County; Charles J. Hoskin, Judge; Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Gayle Nathan, Judge; Eighth Judicial District Court, Family Court Division, Clark County; Lisa M. Brown, Judge.

Our review of the documents submitted to this court pursuant to NRAP 3(g) reveals jurisdictional defects. Specifically, the notice of appeal appears to be untimely filed under NRAP 4(a) because it was filed more than thirty days after service of written notice of entry of the orders. See NRAP 4(a)(1); NRAP 26(c). The district court entered the “Clarified Final Order Resolving Parent Child Issues” on November 22, 2013; written notice of entry was also filed on November 22, 2013. Appellants filed the notice of appeal on September 10, 2017, and an amended notice of appeal on September 12, 2017, nearly four years after entry of the order appealed from. The new notice of entry, prepared by appellants and filed and served on respondent on August 25, 2017, does not cure the untimeliness. The


17-33536


same issue exists for the previous interlocutory orders identified in the notice of appeal.

With respect to the order regarding the child's school, filed August 11, 2017, with written notice of entry filed and served on August 12, 2017, the order is not substantively appealable. See NRAP 3A(b). This court has jurisdiction to consider an appeal only when the appeal is authorized by statute or court rule. *Taylor Constr. Co. v. Hilton Hotels*, 100 Nev. 207, 678 P.2d 1152 (1984). No statute or court rule provides for an appeal from an order designating the child's school. We lack jurisdiction over this appeal, and we

ORDER this appeal DISMISSED.<sup>1</sup>

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Douglas

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Gibbons

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Pickering

cc: Hon. Gayle Nathan, District Judge  
Hon. Frank P. Sullivan, District Judge, Family Court Division  
Hon. Charles J. Hoskin, District Judge, Family Court Division  
Hon. Lisa M. Brown, District Judge, Family Court Division  
Gonzalo Galindo  
Julie L. Hammer  
Black & LoBello  
Eighth District Court Clerk

<sup>1</sup>We deny as moot respondent's emergency motion to dismiss this appeal, and we deny respondent's request for sanctions.