

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

TERRY HOUSTON,
Petitioner,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE BILL
HENDERSON, DISTRICT JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

DELORES BORJA,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 80013-COA

FILED

DEC 27 2019

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Yacoby
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

This original petition for a writ of mandamus challenges an August 19, 2019, oral district court ruling temporarily modifying child custody, reconsideration of which was orally denied on October 1, 2019. Real party in interest has timely filed an answer to the petition, as directed, and petitioner has filed a reply.

Having reviewed the filings and supporting documentation, we conclude that petitioner has not met his burden to demonstrate that our extraordinary intervention is warranted. *Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004) (describing a petitioner's burden to demonstrate that writ relief is warranted). Petitioner points to defects with the district court's decisions but has not complied with NRAP 21(a)(4) by providing this court with written orders reflecting the district court's rulings or transcripts detailing what happened at the relevant hearings. *See Pan*, 120 Nev. at 229, 88 P.3d at 844; *Rust v. Clark Cty. Sch. Dist.*, 103 Nev. 686, 689, 747 P.2d 1380, 1382 (1987) (providing that an oral

pronouncement from the bench is not valid for any purpose). Therefore, we are unable to properly evaluate the parties' arguments, and we

ORDER the petition DENIED.¹


_____, C.J.
Gibbons


_____, J.
Tao


_____, J.
Bulla

cc: Hon. Bill Henderson, District Judge, Family Court Division
Law Offices of Ernest A. Buche, Jr.
Isso & Hughes Law Firm
Eighth District Court Clerk

¹ Petitioner's November 15, 2019, motion for expedited relief is denied as moot.