


IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ANTHONY KENNETH ANDERSON,  
Petitioner,  
vs.  
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF  
CLARK; THE HONORABLE DONALD  
M. MOSLEY; AND THE HONORABLE  
J. CHARLES THOMPSON,  
Respondents,  
and  
THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
Real Party in Interest.

No. 80463

**FILED**

FEB 19 2020

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY   
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER DENYING PETITION*

In this original pro se petition for a writ of quo warranto or prohibition, petitioner challenges the jurisdiction of the district court judge who signed his judgment of conviction and alleges that he was wrongfully convicted of charges that had previously been dismissed with prejudice.

Petitioner's claims are outside the scope of those for which quo warranto may be granted. *See Lueck v. Teuton*, 125 Nev. 674, 678-79, 219 P.3d 895, 898 (2009). We therefore decline to entertain the petition for a writ of quo warranto.

A writ of prohibition may issue to restrain the district court from acting in excess of its jurisdiction. NRS 34.320; *Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d 849, 851 (1991). This court has complete discretion in deciding whether to entertain a petition seeking such relief. *Cote H. v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 124 Nev. 36, 39, 175 P.3d 906, 908 (2008). Having considered the petition and supporting documentation, we are not persuaded that our extraordinary and

