IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

RICK SHAWN,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK,
Respondent.

No. 80482

FILED

FEB 1 9 2020

CLERIZOF SUPREMS COURT

BY

DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS

This is an original pro se petition for a writ of mandamus to declare petitioner's convictions void as a matter of law.

A writ of mandamus is available to compel the performance of an act that the law requires as a duty resulting from an office, trust, or station or to control an arbitrary or capricious exercise of discretion. See NRS 34.160; Int'l Game Tech., Inc. v. Second Judicial Dist. Court, 124 Nev. 193, 197, 179 P.3d 556, 558 (2008). A writ of mandamus will not issue, however, if the petitioner has a plain, speedy, and adequate remedy at law. See NRS 34.170; Int'l Game Tech., 124 Nev. at 197, 179 P.3d at 558. Further, mandamus is an extraordinary remedy, and "the issuance of a writ of mandamus . . . is purely discretionary with this court." See Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 679, 818 P.2d 849, 851, 853 (1991).

We reiterate that "[p]etitioner[] carr[ies] the burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted." *Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004). Having considered the documents before us, we conclude that petitioner has failed

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

20.06783

to demonstrate that extraordinary writ relief is warranted. See NRAP 21(b)(1); Smith, 107 Nev. at 677, 818 P.2d at 851. Accordingly, we ORDER the petition DENIED.

Pickering, C.J.

1 Sardesty, J.

Cadish

Hardesty

cc:

Rick Shawn

Attorney General/Carson City Eighth District Court Clerk