## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

KEVIN BROOKS, A/K/A RALPH KEVIN CLARK, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent.

JUN 2 5 2021 ELIZABETHA. BROWN CLERKOF AUPREME COURT

No. 81939-COA

## ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

Kevin Brooks appeals from an order of the district court denying a "motion to amend judgment, or in the alternative, motion for correction of illegal sentence" filed on September 25, 2020. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; William D. Kephart, Judge.

In his motion, Brooks claimed his sentence should be modified because of the changes enacted to the habitual criminal statutes in 2020. Brooks failed to demonstrate that his sentence was facially illegal or the district court lacked jurisdiction. See Edwards v. State, 112 Nev. 704, 708, 918 P.2d 321, 324 (1996). Further, as a separate and independent ground to deny relief, Brooks failed to demonstrate that the Legislature intended the changes to apply retroactively. See State v. Second Judicial Dist. Court (Pullin), 124 Nev. 564, 567, 188 P.3d 1079, 1081 (2008). Therefore, we

COURT OF APPEALS OF Nevada conclude the district court did not err by denying Brooks' motion. Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.<sup>1</sup>

C.J.

Gibbons

J. Tao

J. Bulla

Chief Judge, Eighth Judicial District Court cc: Eighth Judicial District Court, Dept. 19 Kevin Brooks Attorney General/Carson City **Clark County District Attorney** Eighth District Court Clerk

COURT OF APPEALS OF NEVADA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>To the extent Brooks appeals from the district court's denial of the motion-to-amend-judgment portion of his motion, a motion to amend judgment is not an appealable order. See Castillo v. State, 106 Nev. 349, 352, 792 P.2d 1133, 1135 (1990). Therefore, we lack jurisdiction to consider this portion of the appeal.