

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ANGELO FERGUSON, A/K/A ANGELO  
FERGUSEN,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
Respondent.

No. 82758-COA

**FILED**

**AUG 19 2021**

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY *[Signature]*  
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE*

Angelo Ferguson appeals from a district court order denying a petition for a writ of mandamus filed on March 9, 2021. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Linda Marie Bell, Chief Judge.

Ferguson's petition is largely incomprehensible.<sup>1</sup> We review a district court order denying mandamus relief for an abuse of discretion. *Kay v. Nunez*, 122 Nev. 1100, 1105, 146 P.3d 801, 805 (2006). Petitioners carry the burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted. *Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004). Because Ferguson's petition is incomprehensible, he failed to meet his burden of demonstrating that he was entitled to extraordinary relief.

---

<sup>1</sup>The petition was handwritten save for a single, intelligible, typewritten page, which set forth only general principles of law regarding mandamus relief.

21-24253

Accordingly, we conclude the district court did not abuse its discretion by denying his petition, and we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.<sup>2</sup>

  
\_\_\_\_\_, C.J.  
Gibbons

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Tao

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Bulla

cc: Hon. Linda Marie Bell, Chief Judge  
Angelo Ferguson  
Attorney General/Carson City  
Clark County District Attorney  
Eighth District Court Clerk

---

<sup>2</sup>Ferguson's informal brief is also incomprehensible. To the extent Ferguson presents claims or facts in his brief that were not previously presented in the proceedings below, we decline to consider them in the first instance on appeal. See *McNelson v. State*, 115 Nev. 396, 415-16, 990 P.2d 1263, 1275-76 (1999).