


IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

BRADLEY BURGER, D.O., AN  
INDIVIDUAL; AND SIERRA HEALTH  
SERVICES, INC., A DOMESTIC  
CORPORATION, D/B/A SOUTHWEST  
MEDICAL ASSOCIATES,  
Petitioners,  
vs.  
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF  
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE  
GLORIA STURMAN, DISTRICT  
JUDGE,  
Respondents,  
and  
JESSICA L. TRAYLOR,  
Real Party in Interest.

No. 85753

FILED

DEC 16 2022

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY   
DEPUTY CLERK


*ORDER DENYING PETITION*

This is an original petition for a writ of mandamus challenging a district court order denying a motion for summary judgment in a medical malpractice action.


As a general rule, “judicial economy and sound judicial administration militate against the utilization of mandamus petitions to review orders denying motions to dismiss and motions for summary judgment.” *State ex rel. Dep’t of Transp. v. Thompson*, 99 Nev. 358, 362, 662 P.2d 1338, 1340 (1983). Although the rule is not absolute, petitioners have not established that an eventual appeal does not afford them an adequate legal remedy. NRS 34.170; *Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 120 Nev. 222, 225, 88 P.3d 840, 841 (2004) (“[E]ven if an appeal is not immediately available because the challenged order is interlocutory in nature, the fact

that the order may ultimately be challenged on appeal from the final judgment generally precludes writ relief.”). We conclude that interlocutory review by extraordinary writ is not warranted in this case. Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

  
\_\_\_\_\_, C.J.  
Parraguirre

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Hardesty

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Stiglich

cc: Hon. Gloria Sturman, District Judge  
Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith, LLP/Las Vegas  
Burriss & Thomas  
Eighth District Court Clerk