
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

PETER JASON HELFRICH, Petitioner, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA; THE HONORABLE DAVID R. GAMBLE; AND DAVID H. NEELY, III, Respondents.



ORDER DISMISSING PETITION

This original pro se petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenges the appointment of David Neely III as counsel for petitioner—due to a conflict of interest. On July 26, 2023, this court ordered respondents to answer the petition.

Having reviewed that answer and the documents provided in respondent's appendices, including the district court's July 11, 2023, order, we agree with respondent that the issues presented in this original pro se petition are now moot.



SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA For that reason, we conclude that that this court's intervention by way of extraordinary relief is not warranted. Nat'l Collegiate Athletic Assn v. Univ. of Nev., Reno, 97 Nev. 56, 58, 624 P.2d 10, 11 (1981). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DISMISSED.¹

. C.J.

Stiglich

J. Cadish

J. Herndon

- Cadish
- cc: Peter Jason Helfrich Attorney General/Carson City Nye County District Attorney David H. Neely, III Attorney General/Las Vegas Nye County Clerk

¹With regard to petitioner's motion for leave to supplement exhibits/evidence in support of all active cases, that motion is denied, as to the instant petition, as this disposition renders the motion moot.

Supreme Court of Nevada