

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ALI SHAHROKHI,  
Petitioner,  
vs.  
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF  
CLARK; THE HONORABLE MATHEW  
HARTER, DISTRICT JUDGE; AND THE  
HONORABLE BILL HENDERSON,  
DISTRICT JUDGE,  
Respondents,  
and  
KIZZY BURROW,  
Real Party in Interest.

No. 87335

**FILED**

**OCT 16 2023**

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY   
DEPUTY CLERK


*ORDER DENYING PETITION*

This is a pro se original petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenging a district court order regarding child custody. In particular, petitioner argues that the child custody order, which was entered in October 2020, awards real party in interest sole physical custody without making adequate findings justifying the custody determination.

Having considered the petition and supporting documents, we are not persuaded that our extraordinary and discretionary intervention is warranted. See NRS 34.160; NRS 34.320; *Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004); *Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 679, 818 P.2d 849, 851, 853 (1991). Petitioner has previously, and unsuccessfully, challenged on appeal the custody order, and has advanced the same argument he now raises in this appeal. Writ relief, however, is not a vehicle to bring an untimely and successive challenge to a prior appellate ruling. Cf. *Pan*, 120 Nev. at 224-

25, 88 P.3d at 841 (providing that “writ relief is not available to correct an untimely notice of appeal”). To the extent that petitioner asserts that recent caselaw warrants relief from the child custody order, petitioner fails to demonstrate that he lacks a plain, speedy, and adequate legal remedy to pursue such relief in the district court.<sup>1</sup> *See id.* at 224, 88 P.3d at 841; *cf* NRCP 60(b). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.<sup>2</sup>

  
\_\_\_\_\_, C.J.  
Stiglich

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Cadish

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Herndon

cc: Hon. Bill Henderson, District Judge, Family Division  
Ali Shahrokhi  
Kizzy Burrow  
Eighth District Court Clerk

---

<sup>1</sup>Petitioner’s assertion that Nevada courts lack jurisdiction over the child custody matter due to the parties’ out-of-state relocation does not warrant writ relief, and regardless, petitioner has failed to include any support for this point in the appendix. NRAP 21(a)(4) (requiring petitioner to supply the court with any documents necessary to understand the matter in the petition).

<sup>2</sup>In light of this order, we deny as moot petitioner’s “motion to file appendix under seal as the district court case is sealed.” The clerk of this court shall return, unfiled, the appendix received on September 22, 2023.