IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

OUR NEVADA JUDGES, INC., A
NEVADA NON-PROFIT
CORPORATION,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
WASHOE; AND THE HONORABLE
KATHLEEN M. DRAKULICH,
DISTRICT JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
THE STATE OF NEVADA; AND
JAYMIE RUTHANNE MITCHELL,
Real Parties in Interest.

No. 87296



ORDER DENYING PETITION

This is an original petition for a writ of mandamus seeking to compel the district court to grant a request to allow camera access throughout an as-of-yet unscheduled jury trial.

A writ of mandamus is available to compel the performance of an act that the law requires as a duty resulting from an office, trust, or station or to control an arbitrary or capricious exercise of discretion. See NRS 34.160; Int'l Game Tech., Inc. v. Second Judicial Dist. Court, 124 Nev. 193, 197, 179 P.3d 556, 558 (2008). Whether a petition for extraordinary writ relief will be entertained rests within this court's sound discretion. D.R. Horton, Inc. v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 123 Nev. 468, 474-75, 168 P.3d 731, 736-37 (2007). Petitioner bears the burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted. Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004).

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

23-33564

Problematically, as noticed by the petitioner, the district court has granted a motion for a continuance in the below proceedings, and therefore the order challenged by this petition is moot. This court normally will not consider a petition for extraordinary relief in the absence of a challenged written order and we decline to do so here. See Rust v. Clark Cty. Sch. Dist., 103 Nev. 686, 689, 747 P.2d 1380, 1382 (1987). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

Stiglich, C.J.

Stiglich, C.J.

Cadish

Herndon

cc: Hon. Kathleen M. Drakulich, District Judge Luke A. Busby Attorney General/Carson City Washoe County District Attorney Evenson Law Office Washoe District Court Clerk

(O) 1947A