


IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

BETTY ENGELSTAD,  
Petitioner,  
vs.  
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF  
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE  
WILLIAM G. HENDERSON, DISTRICT  
JUDGE,  
Respondents,  
and  
KRIS ENGELSTAD MCGARRY; AND  
TIMOTHY MCGARRY,  
Real Parties in Interest.

No. 87532

FILED

NOV 09 2023

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY   
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER DENYING PETITION*

This is an original petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenging a district court ruling denying a motion to dismiss.<sup>1</sup>

Although petitioner has provided a minute order with her petition, she has not provided a written district court order memorializing the ruling she wishes to challenge. As a result, we are unable to evaluate the petition. *Rust v. Clark Cty. Sch. Dist.*, 103 Nev. 686, 689, 747 P.2d 1380, 1382 (1987) (explaining that a minute order is ineffective for any purpose and that a written order signed and filed by the district court is essential to this court's review); *see also* NRAP 21(a)(4) (stating that it is the petitioner's

---

<sup>1</sup>Petitioner's motion to exceed the word limit for a petition for writ is granted. NRAP 21(d); NRAP 32(a)(7)(D). The petition was filed on November 1, 2023.

obligation to provide an appendix that includes all records that may be essential to understand the matters set forth in the petition). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

Stiglich, C.J.  
Stiglich

Cadish, J.  
Cadish

Herndon, J.  
Herndon

cc: Hon. William G. Henderson, District Judge  
Jones & LoBello  
Law Office of Daniel Marks  
Smith Jain Stutzman  
Mushkin & Rosenblum, Chartered  
Eighth District Court Clerk