

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

SMART ADVERTISING, INC., A  
NEVADA CORPORATION; HAMID  
JALALI, INDIVIDUALLY; AND RAMIN  
JALALI, INDIVIDUALLY,  
Appellants,

vs.  
JAN BRUNER, AN INDIVIDUAL; AND  
RENEA COSTA, AN INDIVIDUAL,  
Respondents.

JAN BRUNER, AN INDIVIDUAL,  
Appellant/Cross-Respondent,

vs.  
SMART ADVERTISING, INC., A  
NEVADA CORPORATION; HAMID  
JALALI, INDIVIDUALLY; AND RAMIN  
JALALI, INDIVIDUALLY,  
Respondents/Cross-Appellants.

No. 87384

**FILED**

JAN 08 2024

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY   
DEPUTY CLERK

✓ No. 87766

*ORDER ADMINISTRATIVELY CLOSING APPEAL IN DOCKET NO.  
87766 AND MODIFYING CAPTION*


On December 21, 2023, Smart Advertising, Inc., Hamid Jalali, and Ramin Jalali (Smart Advertising) filed an amended notice of appeal, which stated that these parties were amending their prior notice of appeal, which was pending in Docket No. 87384, to include a district court order granting plaintiff's motion for attorney fees and an order granting, in part, plaintiff's motion for additur. Because the order granting plaintiff's motion for attorney fees is an independently appealable order, this court docketed the amended notice of appeal in Docket No. 87766 and issued a notice directing Smart Advertising to pay the filing fee within 7 days. Further review of the notice of appeal documents indicates that the district court treated the motion for additur as a timely motion filed under NRCP

59(a)(1)(E). Because of this, Smart Advertising properly submitted an amended notice of appeal, for which no additional fee was required. See NRAP 4(a)(5), (7). Accordingly, we conclude no filing fee is due with respect to the amended notice of appeal and Smart Advertising may disregard the notice issued by this court on December 21, 2023 in Docket No. 87766.

Smart Advertising's amended notice of appeal should have been filed in Docket No. 87384. Because Jan Bruner's notice of cross-appeal states that she is appealing from one of the orders listed in Smart Advertising's amended notice of appeal, we conclude that in the interest of judicial economy the notice of cross-appeal filed by Jan Bruner should also be filed in Docket No. 87384. Accordingly, we direct the clerk of this court to transfer all documents in Docket No. 87766 to the appeal pending in Docket No. 87384 and administratively close the appeal in Docket No. 87766. The clerk of this court shall modify the caption in Docket No. 87384 to read as follows:

SMART ADVERTISING, INC., A NEVADA CORPORATION;  
HAMID JALALI, INDIVIDUALLY; AND RAMIN JALALI,  
INDIVIDUALLY,  
Appellants/Cross-Respondents,  
vs.  
RENEA CROSTA, AN INDIVIDUAL,  
Respondent  
and  
JAN BRUNER, AN INDIVIDUAL  
Respondent/Cross-Appellant

It is so ORDERED.

  
\_\_\_\_\_, C.J.  
Cadish

cc: Brian K. Berman  
Black & Wadhams  
Heidari Law Group, PC