

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

WILLIAM CUTLIP AND VALERIE
WEIS, CO-ADMINISTRATORS OF THE
ESTATE OF GENE L. CUTLIP, JR.,
Petitioners,

vs.

THE THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LYON;
AND THE HONORABLE JOHN
SCHLEGELMILCH, DISTRICT JUDGE,
Respondents,


and

BRIAN EDMISTON; AND DAWN
EDMISTON,
Real Parties in Interest.

No. 87892

FILED

MAR 19 2024

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY 
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION

This is an original petition for a writ of prohibition or mandamus challenging a district court order denying a motion for summary judgment in a matter involving the settlement of an estate.

Having considered the petition and supporting documentation, we are not persuaded that our extraordinary and discretionary intervention is warranted. *See Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004) (observing that the party seeking writ relief bears the burden of showing such relief is warranted); *Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 679, 818 P.2d 849, 851, 853 (1991) (recognizing that writ relief is an extraordinary remedy and that this court has sole discretion in determining whether to entertain a writ petition). In this, we are not persuaded that an appeal fails to provide petitioners with an adequate legal remedy. *See Pan*, 120 Nev. at 224, 88 P.3d at 841 (recognizing that an appeal is generally an adequate remedy precluding

writ relief); *see also* NRS 155.190(1)(j) (recognizing that an order “[d]irecting or allowing the payment of a . . . claim” is an appealable order). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

Stiglich, J.
Stiglich

Pickering, J.
Pickering

Parraguirre, J.
Parraguirre

cc: Hon. John Schlegelmilch, District Judge
O'Mara Law Firm, P.C.
Kalicki Collier, PLLC
Third District Court Clerk