


IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

BRYAN P. BONHAM,
Petitioner,
vs.
BOARD OF PAROLE
COMMISSIONERS AND NEVADA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,
Respondents.

No. 90279

FILED

APR 18 2025

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY 
DEPUTY CLERK


ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS


This is an original pro se petition for a writ of mandamus asking this court to order the Parole Board to reconsider their denial of petitioner's parole or order a rehearing. Additionally, petitioner requests this court confirm his risk and severity level assignments and order that petitioner be granted parole if warranted by that confirmation.


A writ of mandamus is available to compel the performance of an act that the law requires as a duty resulting from an office, trust, or station or to control an arbitrary or capricious exercise of discretion. See NRS 34.160; *Int'l Game Tech., Inc. v. Second Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 124 Nev. 193, 197, 179 P.3d 556, 558 (2008). Whether a petition for extraordinary writ relief will be entertained rests within this court's sound discretion. *D.R. Horton, Inc. v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 123 Nev. 468, 474-75, 168 P.3d 731, 736-37 (2007). Petitioner bears the burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted. See NRS 34.170; *Pan v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 120 Nev. 222, 224, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 841, 844 (2004) (writ relief is proper only when there is not a plain, speedy, and adequate remedy at law and the petitioner bears the burden to demonstrate that extraordinary relief is warranted). Petitioner has not submitted an appendix with the materials

essential to understanding the petition, *see* NRAP 21(a)(4), and has failed to show that he lacks a plain, speedy, and adequate remedy. Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.


_____, C.J.
Herndon


_____, J.
Parraguirre


_____, J.
Stiglich

cc: Bryan Phillip Bonham
Attorney General/Carson City