

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

WON CHO,  
Petitioner,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK  
AND THE HONORABLE DANIELLE K.  
PIEPER, DISTRICT JUDGE,

Respondents,


and

YEVGENIY KHAVKIN, M.D., AN  
INDIVIDUAL; KHAVKIN CLINIC,  
PLLC, A NEVADA PROFESSIONAL  
LIMITED-LIABILITY COMPANY;  
VALLEY HEALTH SYSTEM, LLC, A  
FOREIGN LIMITED-LIABILITY  
COMPANY DOING BUSINESS AS  
SPRING VALLEY HOSPITAL,  
Real Parties in Interest.

No. 90402

**FILED**

MAY 15 2025

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY  DEPUTY CLERK


*ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS*


This original petition for a writ of mandamus challenges a district court order affirming and adopting a discovery commissioner's report and recommendation in a tort action. Having considered the petition and supporting documents, we are not persuaded that our extraordinary and discretionary intervention is warranted. *See Pan v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004) (observing that the party seeking writ relief bears the burden of demonstrating such relief is warranted); *Smith v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 679, 818 P.2d 849, 851, 853 (1991) (recognizing that writ relief is an extraordinary remedy and this court has the sole discretion in determining whether to entertain a petition). Generally, this court does not intervene in discovery matters


absent circumstances not alleged here. *See Club Vista Fin. Servs., LLC v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 128 Nev. 224, 228, 276 P.3d 246, 249 (2012).

Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

  
\_\_\_\_\_, C.J.  
Herndon

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Parraguirre

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Stiglich

cc: Hon. Danielle K. Pieper, District Judge  
The Powell Law Firm  
DRR Law Group  
Hall Prangle & Schoonveld, LLC/Las Vegas  
Eighth District Court Clerk