

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

NATALIA FYODOROVNA PAVLOVA,
Petitioner,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK
AND THE HONORABLE VERONICA
BARISICH, DISTRICT JUDGE,

Respondents,


and

SONIA CETINA, AN INDIVIDUAL;
DOE INDIVIDUALS I THROUGH X,
INCLUSIVE; AND ROE BUSINESS
ENTITIES I THROUGH X,
Real Parties in Interest.

No. 90765-COA

FILED

JUN 24 2025

ELIZABETH A. BRO
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY  DEPUTY CLERK


ORDER DENYING EMERGENCY PETITION

This original pro se emergency petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition seeks an order vacating the district court's order of dismissal and directing the district court to enter petitioner's proposed dismissal order. A writ of mandamus is available to compel the performance of an act that the law requires as a duty resulting from an office, NRS 34.160, or to control an arbitrary or capricious exercise of discretion, *Int'l Game Tech., Inc. v. Second Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 124 Nev. 193, 197, 179 P.3d 556, 558 (2008). A writ of prohibition arrests the proceedings of a tribunal that is acting in excess of, or without, jurisdiction. NRS 34.320. The decision to entertain a petition for extraordinary writ relief is within our sole discretion, and the petitioner has the burden of demonstrating that such relief is warranted. *Pan v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004). Having considered the petition, the supplement to the petition, and the

supporting appendix, petitioner has not demonstrated that our extraordinary relief is warranted and we therefore

ORDER the petition DENIED.¹


_____, C.J.
Bulla


_____, J.
Gibbons


_____, J.
Westbrook

cc: Hon. Veronica Barisich, District Judge
Natalia Fyodorovna Pavlova
Curriden & Clayson
Eighth District Court Clerk

¹In light of this order, we deny as moot petitioner's motion to stay the underlying proceedings pending the resolution of this writ petition.