


IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ANGELO TAYLOR,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 89358-COA

FILED

JUL 30 2025

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY  DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE


Angelo Taylor appeals from a district court order denying a postconviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed on June 28, 2024, and an amended petition filed on August 22, 2024. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Bitu Yeager, Judge.

Taylor filed his petition more than three years after issuance of the remittitur on direct appeal on January 4, 2021. *Taylor v. State*, No. 81135-COA, 2020 WL 7238308 (Nev. Ct. App. Dec. 8, 2020) (Order of Affirmance). Thus, Taylor's petition was untimely filed. *See* NRS 34.726(1). Taylor's petition was procedurally barred absent a demonstration of good cause—cause for the delay and undue prejudice. *See id.*

Taylor appeared to allege he had good cause due to the ineffective assistance of his appellate counsel. Taylor failed to demonstrate why this good-cause claim could not have been brought in a timely petition. Thus, this good-cause claim was itself untimely and did not constitute cause for Taylor's delay. *See Hathaway v. State*, 119 Nev. 248, 252, 71 P.3d 503, 506 (2003) (holding a good-cause claim "must not be procedurally

defaulted"). Therefore, we conclude the district court did not err by denying the petition as procedurally barred.¹ Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.


_____, C.J.
Bulla


_____, J.
Gibbons


_____, J.
Westbrook

cc: Hon. Bita Yeager, District Judge
Angelo Martez Taylor
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney
Eighth District Court Clerk

¹To the extent Taylor raises facts, claims, or arguments on appeal that were not raised in his pleadings below, we decline to consider them in the first instance. *See State v. Wade*, 105 Nev. 206, 209 n.3, 772 P.2d 1291, 1293 n.3 (1989).