IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JACQUES ACHIARDI; DONALD L. ARNOLD; DEBRA K. D'AMATO; **BLANCHE M. GLAESER: DUANE** GOSH. TRUSTEE OF THE GOSH FAMILY TRUST; JAMES P. HAUSMANN AND ANN L. HAUSMANN, INDIVIDUALLY: WERNER H. JOCKELLE AND JULIE JOCKELLE, INDIVIDUALLY; THOMAS P. KENNEDY AND PATTI A. KENNEDY, INDIVIDUALLY; TERRY GIBSON AND PEGGY GIBSON, INDIVIDUALLY; BRANT HOLLAND AND ESTER HOLLAND, INDIVIDUALLY; KATHY DEPORRA AND FRANK DEPORRA, INDIVIDUALLY: ROSEMARY LAURENT, INDIVIDUALLY: CATHERINE MCCLINTOCK, INDIVIDUALLY; AND JACK R. WHITEHORN AND MARY R. WHITEHORN, INDIVIDUALLY, Appellants,

vs.

WESTPARK ASSOCIATES, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, D/B/A WESTPARK LLC; AND WESTPARK OWNERS' ASSOCIATION, A NEVADA CORPORATION, Respondents.

WESTPARK ASSOCIATES, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, D/B/A WESTPARK LLC; AND WESTPARK OWNERS' ASSOCIATION, A NEVADA No. 39309

FIL D

DEC 0 3 2004



SUPICEME COURT OF NEVADA

CORPORATION, Cross-Appellants,

vs. JACQUES ACHIARDI; DONALD L. ARNOLD; DEBRA K. D'AMATO: BLANCHE M. GLAESER; DUANE GOSH, TRUSTEE OF THE GOSH FAMILY TRUST; ANN L. HAUSMANN, INDIVIDUALLY; WERNER H. JOCKELLE AND JULIE JOCKELLE, INDIVIDUALLY; THOMAS P. KENNEDY AND PATTI A. KENNEDY, INDIVIDUALLY; BETTY M. MANGAN; HERSKEL SHAKERACHI; ROBERT G. STROUD AND JENNIE M. STROUD. INDIVIDUALLY; AND TERRY GIBSON AND PEGGY GIBSON, INDIVIDUALLY, Cross-Respondents.

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is an appeal and cross-appeal from a district court order dismissing appellants' complaint under NRCP 41(e) without prejudice. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; James C. Mahan, Judge.

NRCP 41(e) requires that an action be brought to trial within five years from the date the complaint was filed. Appellants argue that their prior counsel had a verbal agreement with respondents' counsel to extend the five-year period. At the hearing on respondents' motion to dismiss under NRCP 41(e), respondents' counsel denied any such agreement. The district court concluded that even if a verbal agreement

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA existed, it could not waive NRCP 41(e)'s requirements.¹ Accordingly, the district court properly dismissed appellants' complaint.

Cross-appellants argue that the action should have been dismissed with prejudice, rather than without prejudice. We note that cross-appellants failed to request dismissal with prejudice in their district court papers, and so the argument is waived.² Moreover, a district court has discretion under NRCP 41(e) to dismiss a case with or without prejudice.³ "Unless it is made to appear that there has been a gross abuse of discretion on the part of the trial court in dismissing an action for lack of prosecution, its decision will not be disturbed on appeal."⁴ Thus, even if we were to consider cross-appellants' argument, we are not persuaded that the district court abused its discretion in this case.

Finally, cross-appellants argue that this court should sanction appellants Jack and Mary Whitehorn under Eighth Judicial District Court Rule 7.60(b). Cross-appellants assert that the Whitehorn's conduct in the district court unreasonably increased their costs. EDCR 7.60 is a district

²<u>See Hampe v. Foote</u>, 118 Nev. 405, 409 n. 10, 47 P.3d 438, 440 n. 10 (2002).

³See <u>Home Sav. Ass'n v. Aetna Cas. & Surety</u>, 109 Nev. 558, 563, 854 P.2d 851, 854 (1993).

⁴<u>Von Zehner v. Truck Ins. Exch.</u>, 99 Nev. 152, 156, 659 P.2d 879, 882 (1983).

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

¹See <u>Ad-Art, Inc. v. Denison</u>, 94 Nev. 73, 74, 574 P.2d 1016, 1017 (1978) ("NRCP 41(e) is clear and unequivocal: any action not brought to trial within five years must, upon proper motion, be dismissed."); <u>Bank of Nevada v. Friedman</u>, 86 Nev. 747, 751, 476 P.2d 172, 175 (1970) (stating that when a case is not brought to trial within five years, the only exception to mandatory dismissal is a written stipulation).

court rule that does not apply to this court. We further note that crossappellants did not request sanctions in the district court and did not request an award of attorney fees in their motion to dismiss. Accordingly, any request for sanctions for district court conduct has been waived.⁵ Cross-appellants further assert that the Whitehorns pursued this appeal knowing that it was frivolous, but do not cite NRAP 38 or any other authority in support of their request. The Whitehorns argue that their actions were taken in good faith and do not warrant sanctions. Although appellants' appeal lacks merit, we decline to impose any monetary sanctions.

Accordingly, we affirm the district court's order in its entirety and deny cross-appellants' request for sanctions.

It is so ORDERED.

J.

J.

Maupin

J. Douglas

⁵See <u>Hampe</u>, 118 Nev. at 409 n. 10, 47 P.3d at 440 n. 10.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

Eighth Judicial District Court Dept. 12, District Judge cc: Frank dePorra Kathy dePorra James P. Hausmann **Brant Holland** Ester Holland **Rosemary Laurent Catherine McClintock** Jack R. Whitehorn Mary R. Whitehorn Jacques Achiardi Donald L. Arnold Debra K. D'Amato Peggy Gibson Terry Gibson Blanche M. Glaeser Duane Gosh Ann L. Hausmann Julie Jockelle Werner H. Jockelle Patti A. Kennedy Thomas P. Kennedy Betty M. Mangan Herskel Shakerachi Jennie M. Stroud Robert G. Stroud Santoro, Driggs, Walch, Kearney, Johnson & Thompson Clark County Clerk

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

 $\mathbf{5}$