

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ROBERT W. CHAPLICK,
Appellant,
vs.
EMPLOYMENT SECURITY DIVISION
DEPARTMENT OF EMPLOYMENT,
TRAINING AND REHABILITATION,
AND WESTWARD HO HOTEL &
CASINO,
Respondents.

No. 39360

FILED

MAR 04 2004

JANETTE M. FLOOM
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *J. Richards*
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is a proper person appeal from a district court order denying judicial review, and affirming a Nevada Employment Security Division Appeal Tribunal determination that appellant is ineligible under NRS 612.380 for unemployment compensation because he voluntarily quit his job without good cause. As long as the Tribunal's findings of fact are supported by substantial evidence and there is no evidence of fraud, the findings are conclusive and judicial review is limited to questions of law.¹ Substantial evidence is that which a reasonable mind could find adequate to support a conclusion.²


We have reviewed the record, and we conclude that the district court did not err. There is no evidence of fraud, and substantial evidence supports the Tribunal's finding that appellant quit his job


¹NRS 612.530(4); Nevada Emp. Sec. Dept. v. Nacheff, 104 Nev. 347, 349, 757 P.2d 787, 788 (1988); State, Emp. Sec. Dep't v. Weber, 100 Nev. 121, 124, 676 P.2d 1318, 1320 (1984).


²State, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986).

voluntarily, and not for good cause. Because the district court properly affirmed the Tribunal's determination that appellant is not entitled to unemployment compensation,³ we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.⁴

 , C.J.
Shearing

 , J.
Becker

 , J.
Gibbons

cc: Hon. Jennifer Togliatti, District Judge
Crowell Susich Owen & Tackes
Robert W. Chaplick
Clark County Clerk

³Under NRS 612.380(1)(a), a person is ineligible for benefits if he voluntarily left his employment without good cause.

⁴Although appellant was not granted leave to proceed in proper person, see NRAP 46(b), we have considered the documents received from him.