

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF THE LITIGATION  
OF RANDAL N. WIIDEMAN.

No. 40228

RANDAL N. WIIDEMAN,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
CLARK COUNTY CLERK,  
Respondent.

**FILED**

JUL 06 2005

JANETTE M. BLOOM  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY *J. Richards*  
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL


This proper person appeal challenges a district court order declaring appellant a vexatious litigant. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Mark W. Gibbons, Judge.


Our review of this appeal reveals a jurisdictional defect. The right to appeal is statutory; where no statute or court rule provides for an appeal, no right to appeal exists.<sup>1</sup> Despite the district court order's language indicating that appellate review might be available, no statute or court rule provides for an appeal from an order declaring a person a


<sup>1</sup>See NRAP 3A(b); Taylor Constr. Co. v. Hilton Hotels, 100 Nev. 207, 678 P.2d 1152 (1984).

vexatious litigant. Accordingly, we conclude that we lack jurisdiction to consider this appeal, and we

ORDER this appeal DISMISSED.<sup>2</sup>

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Maupin

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Douglas

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Parraguirre

cc: Eighth Judicial District Court Dept. 7, District Judge  
Randal N. Wiideman  
Clark County District Attorney David J. Roger  
Clark County Clerk

---

<sup>2</sup>Although appellant was not granted leave to proceed in proper person under NRAP 46(b), we have considered the documents submitted on September 25, 2002. In light of this order and the fact that the record on appeal was filed in this court on November 12, 2002, we deny appellant's requests as moot.