

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JO ANN JACKSON,  
Petitioner,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF  
CLARK, AND THE HONORABLE  
STEPHEN L. HUFFAKER, DISTRICT  
JUDGE; THE HONORABLE GENE T.  
PORTER, DISTRICT JUDGE; AND THE  
HONORABLE RONALD D.

PARRAGUIRRE, DISTRICT JUDGE,  
Respondents,

and

JANET RAFAEL, A/K/A JANET  
JACKSON, AND WILSON RAFAEL,  
A/K/A WILSON JACKSON, HUSBAND  
AND WIFE,  
Real Parties in Interest.

No. 41723

FILED

SEP 18 2003

JANETTE M. BLOOM  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY *J. Richards*  
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING WRIT PETITION


This is an original proper person petition entitled "First Amendment Petition." Petitioner has the burden of providing this court with a statement of facts necessary for this court's understanding of all issues raised, and must attach all documents needed for this court to render its decision.<sup>1</sup>


---

<sup>1</sup>NRAP 21(a).

We have considered this petition, and we are not satisfied that this court's intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted at this time.<sup>2</sup> Accordingly we,

ORDER the petition DENIED.<sup>3</sup>

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Rose

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Becker

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Maupin

cc: Hon. Gene T. Porter, District Judge  
Hon. Stephen L. Huffaker, District Judge  
Jo Ann Jackson  
Janet Rafael  
Wilson Rafael  
Clark County Clerk

---

<sup>2</sup>NRAP 21(b).

<sup>3</sup>It appears the district court has dismissed petitioner's underlying case. Because it appears that petitioner has an adequate remedy in the form of an appeal, the issues raised in this petition are more appropriately addressed in any appeal from the dismissal. See Pengilly v. Rancho Santa Fe Homeowners, 116 Nev. 646, 647-48 n.1, 5 P.3d 569, 570 n.1 (1998). The petition included a request for a stay of proceedings. In light of this order, we deny petitioner's request as moot. Although petitioner was not granted leave to file papers in proper person, see NRAP 46(b), we have considered the proper person documents received from petitioner.