

On March 9, 2024, a non-party, Our Nevada Judges, Inc. ('ONJ'), filed a limited motion to unseal. SRCR 4(2). Parties did not file oppositions. EDCR 2.20(e). DCR 13(2).

The Court finds that ONJ's request to unseal the court index is the most reasonable and efficient manner in which to comply with SRCR 3(5)(c), as doing so would offer ONJ's news reporters the information necessary to attend hearings while affording Parties and the child a broad level of privacy.

The restoration of the court index¹ would reveal to the public and ONJ the mandatory minimum information required by SRCR 3(5)(c)(i), (ii), (iii), (iv), and (v). This Court will also order the unsealing of each and every sealing order in this matter, if any there are, which is required by SRCR 3(5)(c)(vi) and (vii).

¹ https://www.clarkcountycourts.us/portal

1	The restoration of the court ind	ex would also disclose dates and times of	
2	hearings, the concealing of which pot	entially implicates constitutional violations by	
3	constructively closing this court. Falconi v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct., 140 Nev., Advance		
5	Opinion No. 8 (2024).		
6	THEREFORE, it is HEREBY ORD	ERED that the Clerk of the Court unseal the	
7	court index such that it be available for	public viewing; and,	
8	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that	the Clerk of the Court unseal each and every	
9 10	sealing order in this matter.	Dated this 1st day of April, 2024	
11	The Court's additional findings are located below the Court's	The ML	
12	signature line. (ha).	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
13		\bigcirc	
14	Respectfully Submitted,	79A 005 3616 EE46 Heidi Almase District Court Judge	
15	By: /s/ Luke Busby	District Court Judge	
16	LUKE A. BUSBY, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10319	ADDITIONAL FINDINGS:	
17	316 California Ave.	The Court FINDS, on Febraury 15, 2024, the Nevada Supreme Court issued Falconi v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct.,	
18	Reno, Nevada 89509	140 Nev. Adv. Op 8 (filed February 15, 2024). The Court	
19	775-453-0112 luke@lukeandrewbusbyltd.com	FINDS the Court found EDCR 5.207, EDCR 5.212 and NRS 125.080 unconsitutional. The Court FINDS EDCR	
20	Attorney for the Our Nevada Judges	5.212(e), mirroring language in NRS 125.080, provides in part: "[The court shall retain supervisory power over its own records and files including the electronic and video	
21		records of proceedings, and possesses inherent authority to deny public access when justified." The Court FINDS	
22	non-opposition, no objection to propos	this provision allowed for the sealing of "court records" eand the public's access thereto. EDCR 5.212 having been found unconsitutional, access to the Court's records	
23	DANIEL SIEBOLD	in this specifc case is permitted.	
24	Petitioner	The Court FINDS it has verified both parties in this matter are signed up for NEFCR e-service. The Court FINDS	
25		neither party filed an opposition and the time for filing an opposition has passsed.	
26	non-opposition, no objection to propos	EDCR 5.503(b) provides the "[f]ailure of an opposing eparty to serve and file a written opposition may be	
27	DONNA PHILLIPS	construed as an admission that the motion is meritorious and a consent that it be granted. (ha).	
28	Respondent		

1	CSERV		
2	DISTRICT COURT		
3	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA		
4			
5			
6	In the Matter of the Petition	by: CASE NO: D-18-570436-C	
7	Daniel Siebold, Petitioner.	DEPT. NO. Department X	
8			
9	AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
10	This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:		
11			
12	Service Date: 4/1/2024		
13		UHM90@hotmail.com	
14			
15	Donna Phillips	uhm90@hotmail.com	
16	Daniel Siebold	christ148@yahoo.com	
17	Alex Falconi	admin@ournevadajudges.com	
18	Luke Busby	luke@lukeandrewbusbyltd.com	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			