

Thomas S. Harkin
CLERK OF THE COURT

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDR

**DISTRICT COURT
FAMILY DIVISION
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

[REDACTED]
Plaintiff,

v.
[REDACTED]
Defendant.

Case No.: D-08-[REDACTED]-C
Dept.: E

Sch. Hrg.: April 19, 2024

ORDER DENYING LIMITED MOTION TO UNSEAL

This Court has reviewed the calendar for an upcoming hearing and FINDS that NRCPC 1 and EDCR 1.10 state that the procedure in District Courts shall be administered to secure efficient, speedy, and inexpensive determinations in every action. Pursuant to EDCR 5.502(e)(3), this Court can consider a motion and issue a decision on the papers at any time without a hearing.

This Court has read and considered the current underlying pleadings in this matter and has reviewed this file. THIS COURT FINDS that a non-party filed a *Limited Motion to Unseal* on March 4, 2024. As no hearing was requested, the matter was set on this Court's *Chamber Calendar*. No opposition has been offered by either party.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

THIS COURT FURTHER FINDS that the non-party petitioner cites to the *Rules Governing Sealing and Redacting Court Records*; specifically SRCR 3 to support their request. However, SRCR 1(4) specifically states that: “These rules do not apply to the sealing or redacting of court records under specific statutes, such as NRS Chapters ... 125 (dissolution), 126 (Parentage) ...” As such, that rule does not apply to the instant case.

THIS COURT FURTHER FINDS that the non-party petitioner indicates that, pursuant to SCR 230(1), they are seeking review for potential coverage. However, prior to the instant request, this case was closed, with no future actions pending. As such, there is no pending “proceeding” for electronic coverage to apply.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the non-party petitioner failed to adequately support their request. Thus, the *Limited Motion to Unseal* is DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, all existing orders, not in conflict with this Order, shall remain in full force and effect.

CASE CLOSED.

IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated this 3rd day of April, 2024



se