

Thomas S. Smith
CLERK OF THE COURT

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDR

**DISTRICT COURT
FAMILY DIVISION
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

[REDACTED]	Case No.: D-23-[REDACTED]-D
Plaintiff,	Dept. No.: O
vs.	Date of Hearing: March 21, 2024
[REDACTED]	Time of Hearing: 10:00 a.m.
Defendant.	

ORDER AFTER MARCH 21, 2024 HEARING

This matter came on for hearing on March 21, 2024 on Plaintiff's Objection to Alex Falconi's Media Request on behalf of Our Nevada Judges, Inc. to record the Motion Hearing on April 3, 2024 and Our Nevada Judges, Inc.'s Response. Plaintiff, [REDACTED], was not present and was represented by her counsel, Michelle A. Hauser, Esq., who was present in person, Defendant, [REDACTED], was not present and was represented by his counsel, Alex Ghibaudo, Esq., who was present virtually via Zoom, and Alex Falconi on behalf of Our Nevada Judges, Inc., was present in person and represented by his counsel, Luke A. Busby, Esq., who was present virtually via Zoom.

THE COURT NOTED the Plaintiff's objection to the Media Request is based upon Plaintiff being an officer of the Court.

THE COURT NOTED per the Constitution and the Nevada Supreme Court, that all hearings must be open to the media unless specific findings are set forth otherwise.

THE COURT NOTED Attorney Hauser's statement that Defendant failed to file an Objection to the Media Request per the Minute Order filed February 20, 2024.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

THE COURT NOTED its assumption that Defendant did not object to the request.

THE COURT NOTED Attorney Hauser’s arguments regarding the Falconi decision, the Petition for Rehearing of the Falconi decision, the April 3, 2024 hearing set in Dept. O, the Court’s jurisdiction over Our Nevada Judges, the First Amendment Act and the Court’s ability to issue an order under NRS 205.4617(1)(a). Further discussion was held regarding the privacy of the case due to Plaintiff’s position in the community.

THE COURT NOTED Attorney Hauser’s concerns regarding safety of the minor child, and private financial information, place of employment, dates of birth and property addresses being divulged.

THE COURT NOTED Attorney Busby’s statements that the Falconi decision is based upon First Amendment rights of the press and that Our Nevada Judges, Inc. does not object to an order prohibiting the parties’ Counsel from uttering publicly the addresses of homes, names of schools, dates of birth, social security numbers, bank accounts, etc. Attorney Busby stated that Mr. Falconi is not interested in publishing any personal information or unsealing any filings unless they reply upon the sealed status as a basis to bar physical access to the courtroom.

THE COURT NOTED Mr. Falconi’s statements that redaction of the parties’ and the child’s faces and names is standard policy. Mr. Falconi further agreed that using substitute type names for financial accounts is acceptable. Mr. Falconi stated that he just wants to show the public the proceedings of a contested prenuptial agreement for the purposes of education only.

THE COURT NOTED Mr. Falconi’s statements that although Our Nevada Judges, Inc. may be listed on the eService list for a case, the system does not typically send the actual document. The system sends a generic letter. Mr. Falconi confirmed he is only interested in the Notices of Hearings or Orders that are directed at him.

///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

THE COURT FINDS that Defendant does not object to the Media Request.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Attorney Hauser and Attorney Busby were able to come to an agreement regarding the terms of Our Nevada Judges, Inc. being present at the Hearing on April 3, 2024 at 10:00 a.m.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED pursuant to the stipulations on the record, Our Nevada Judges, Inc. may attend the April 3, 2024 hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney Hauser shall prepare the Stipulation and Order from today's hearing circulate it to all Counsel for signature within the next five days.**

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED Attorney Hauser and Attorney Ghibauda shall confer to form a list of numbers that will be used to address the assets and documents relevant to the hearing set for April 3, 2024, to protect confidential information.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED Counsel shall file all supplements on or before March 28, 2024. Counsel shall provide their exhibit lists to the Court on or before March 25, 2024.

**This Court prepared the Order after Hearing on behalf of Counsel. A Decree of Divorce was filed on March 22, 2024, closing the case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 16th day of May, 2024



dk

935 D83 466F D94E
Regina M. McConnell
District Court Judge