

The date and place of the annual meeting shall be determined by July 31, 2025.

The Founding Director



Mr. Falconi has unilateral powers. <u>Bylaws</u> 1.1(b). The purpose of which is "preserve brand integrity, ensure the educational and non-partisan mandate, and take decisive action as necessary during the corporation's formative years." These powers will gradually be curtailed as funding increases and the corporation grows. This is consistent with the importance of delegating tasks and distribution

of power.

The Board of Directors

The current members consist¹ of Alex Falconi, Emily McFarling, Alice Denton, Maggie McLetchie, James M. Jimmerson, and Paul Malikowsky. Each member has one (1) vote.











Proposed Policy Changes

The Board is invited to vote on policy changes. <u>Bylaws</u> 1.7(b). The Board is urged to consider changes to election policy as it will directly impact the manner in which the corporation is involved in the 2026 election. <u>UIOP&P</u> 112.

Item 1: Transparency in Algorithms

There arise questions on how certain algorithms on the website generate content. This is specific to the recent components of the Home page, Judicial Profile pages, and Candidate profile pages, as well as the Election Presentation cards. Publishing and maintaining information on how these algorithms operate would foster trust.

¹ The discussion on James Jimmerson and Paul Malikowsky's addition was advanced to January 15, 2025 and both members were installed on January 19, 2025. <u>Bylaws</u> 1.2(c).



Item 2: Election Interviews

Prior to incorporation, the Founding Director sent out invitations to judicial candidates for interview. Existing policy should be expanded to provide that an advisory committee consider formation of interview panels, which would include pairing of candidates with hosts as well as order of scheduling. The committee should consist of nine (9) members as elected by the Board, four (4) of which should be attorneys, and five (5) of which should be non-attorneys. <u>Bylaws</u> 1.4(a).

Item 3: Election Poll

Existing policy may be expanded to provide that the advisory election committee determine whether a Judging the Judges poll, similar to the Las Vegas Review Journal's, should be conducted and if so, by what parameters.

Item 4: Website Expansion to Include Courthouses

Existing code contains scaffolding for jurisdictions and their corresponding departments. This metadata should be expanded to include contact emails and phone numbers, courthouse locations, procedures for making records requests, links to official forms, and other useful information. The tab created should be labeled "Courts". The information should assist the public and press with important details on how to interact with a court and department and, consequently, reduce the communications traffic received by clerks and other information officers.

Item 5: Original Reporting

Currently, no original reporting is done. The website could be expanded to add this feature, which is needed because there are enough gaps between hearings that the viewers are becoming frustrated, especially in cases outside of the Eighth Judicial District where hearings are far less common.

Item 6: Tracking of Senior Judges and Short-Trial Judges

Currently, Senior Judges and Short-Trial Judges are not tracked on the website. Senior Judges by virtue of their old term data are treated as "former" judges. Short-Trial Judges aren't tracked at all which causes issues because short-trial dispositions need to attach to these judges and not the Department-assigned judge.

Item 7: The Box Project

The Supreme Court's clerks work on what they colloquially call "the box project" when they have spare time. Apparently, there are old boxes packed full of microfiches which contain the appellate dispositions of all of the old cases,



presumably back to the first case. Rory Wunsch has mentioned that it may be possible for ONJ to assist with the acceleration. It is a goal, albeit low-priority, for the website to contain every appellate disposition in the state, including the unpublished historical ones.

Proposed Bylaw Amendments

The Founding Director may amend the bylaws. <u>Bylaws</u> 5.4. The Board is invited to propose amendments.

Item 8: Removal of the Vice-President position

It is proposed that the position of the Vice-President be removed. <u>Bylaws</u> 3.1(a). The position can be re-added at a later date at such a time as when it would be useful.

Item 9: Creation of the Legal Director position

It is proposed that the position of Legal Director be created. In addition to having the voting power of an ordinary board member, he or she will advise and assist on risk management, corporate governance, contracts, transactions, and litigation management.

Item 10: Creation of the Executive Director position

It is proposed that the position of Executive Director be created. In addition to having the voting power of an ordinary board member, he or she will work directly with the Executive Committee to see to it that the day-to-day functions are carried out.

Item 11: Procedures and Policies on Director Conflicts

It is proposed that electronic coverage of courtroom proceedings involving directors and other corporate personnel be screened by special procedure.

Item 12: The Election Advisory Committee

The committee is tasked with handling scheduling of candidate interviews, including assignment of hosts to interview panels. The committee may also be tasked with implementing a poll.



Scott Coffee

Mr. Coffee is a Chief Deputy Public Defender at the Clark County Public Defender's Office.



Joe Gersten

Mr. Gersten is a defense attorney.



Lisa Szyc

Ms. Szyc is an attorney at Backus and Burden.



Robert Murdock

Mr. Mordock is an attorney at Murdock & Associates.



John Stiles

Mr. Stiles is the producer of WWDBTV.



4 Vacancies (1 attorney, 3 non-attorney)



The Executive Committee

The Founding Director has held all three (3) positions since incorporation occurred. Bylaws 3.1(b). The main challenge is assigning these officer roles to individuals with more than a placeholder purpose who are willing to volunteer and advocate for the corporation. At this juncture, the strongest candidates are proposed below. It is preferred² that these officers be elected. Bylaws 3.2(a). The Board is encouraged to submit additional candidates. The officer positions will be especially important during the 2026 election as they can act as ambassadors for the corporation at invited functions.



Item 13: Proposed President, Cody Gamble

Mr. Gamble is a fire engineer for the City of North Las Vegas. He is a military veteran. He serves on the State Bar of Nevada Southern Disciplinary Committee. As a lay member, he is tasked with dispensing discipline on screening and formal hearing panels.



Item 14: Proposed Treasurer, Joanna Ronchi

Ms. Ronchi is a staff accountant at Technoir. She serves on the State Bar of Nevada Southern Fee Dispute Committee and Fee Dispute Committee. As a lay member, she is tasked with dispensing discipline and adjudicating disputes on arbitration panels.



Secretary, Vikki Seelig

Ms. Seelig was elected. <u>Directive 2025-0007</u>.

Important Updates

Item 15: Our Nevada Judges, Inc. vs First, Second, and Eighth Judicial District Courts The Founding Director authorized litigation. Bylaws 5.9(a). Luke Busby, Esq., is representing the corporation and has filed a petition for writ of *mandamus* in the Supreme Court. Case no. 89600. Chief Justice Elissa Cadish has directed answers. Briefing is underway.

² The Founding Director may unilaterally install these officers. Bylaws 3.2(e).



Item 16: Nevada Bar Foundation Funds Again

For the second time, the Foundation has provided the corporation with funding. The Board's proposed changes in election policy should factor into a request for funding because of the flood of candidate interviews that will inevitably follow could overwhelm existing resources dedicated to camera and video editing personnel that are otherwise focused on courtroom coverage. It might also be a good opportunity to seek funding for the "box project" and election poll.

Item 17: Appellate Disposition Determinations

At issue is determining whether certain dispositions are to be considered error. <u>UIOP&P</u> 103. The decision on its members and formation was advanced and confirmed by <u>Directive 2025-0004</u>. The committee was instituted and instructed. <u>Directive 2025-0006</u>.

Item 18: Custom Redactions, Family Court Perspective Topics

New policies require the formation of a standing committee to make determinations on custom redaction requests. <u>UIOP&P</u> 109(b)(7). The committee could also coordinate and schedule family law related Perspectives discussions. The committee was instituted and instructed. <u>Directive</u> 2025-0007.

Item 19: Accounts

The discussion on status of accounts is to be determined.

Alexander M. Falconi

alexander Folsow

Our Nevada Judges, Inc.

Founding Director

Version 17

February 10, 2025