

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Electronically Filed
05/21/2025 2:33 PM
Thomas S. Amis
CLERK OF THE COURT

PLAINTIFF

-VS-
AMY MARKLE

DEFENDANT

CASE NO: D-25-____-D

DEPT. NO: H

**MEDIA REQUEST AND ORDER ALLOWING
CAMERA ACCESS TO COURT PROCEEDINGS**

Please efile and email DC (dept. number)Inbox@clarkcountycourts.us
and cc pricem@clarkcountycourts.us to ensure that the request will be
processed as quickly as possible.

Alex Falconi (name), of Our Nevada Judges, Inc. (media organization),

hereby requests permission to broadcast, record, photograph or televise proceedings in the above-entitled case in

Dept. No. H, the Honorable Judge Arthur Ritchie Presiding, on the 9 day of
July, 2025.

I hereby certify that I am familiar with, and will comply with Supreme Court Rules 229-246, inclusive. If this request is being
submitted less than twenty-four (24) hours before the above-described proceedings commence, the following facts provide good
cause for the Court to grant the request on such short notice:

N/A

It is further understood that any media camera pooling arrangements shall be the sole responsibility of the media and must be
arranged prior to coverage, without asking for the Court to mediate disputes.

Dated this 21 day of May, 2025.

SIGNATURE: *Alex Falconi* PHONE: 702-374-3530

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

☐ The media request is **denied** because it was submitted less than 24 hours before the scheduled proceeding was to
commence, and no "good cause" has been shown to justify granting the request on shorter notice.

☐ The media request is **denied** for the following reasons: _____

☒ The media request is **granted**. The requested media access remains in effect for each and every hearing in the above-
entitled case, at the discretion of the Court, and unless otherwise notified. This order is made in accordance with
Supreme Court Rules 229-246, inclusive, at the discretion of the judge, and is subject to reconsideration upon motion
of any party to the action. Media access may be revoked if it is shown that access is distracting the participants,
impairing the due course of the proceedings, or otherwise interfering with the administration of justice.

☒ **OTHER:** Both parties may make a written request for a hearing to rebut the presumptive right to access to legal proceedings.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this document shall be made a part of the record of the proceedings in Case No. D-25-709477-D, dated this 21st day of May, 2025.

Dated this _____ day of _____, 20____.

Arthur Ritchie
DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

CE8 876 10E6 1648
T. Arthur Ritchie
District Court Judge